

March 2, 2023

proj2676

Susan Smyth Senior Planner, Quartek Group 89 - 91 St. Paul Street, Suite 100 St. Catharines, ON, L2R 3M3

RE: 281 Chippawa Road, Port Colborne Environmental Constraints Assessment and Mitigation

On behalf of Natural Resource Solutions Inc. (NRSI) I am pleased to provide you with this letter report summarizing our work to identify environmental constraints and appropriate mitigation for the development of 281 Chippawa Road, Port Colborne. A pre-consultation meeting with the City of Port Colborne was held on April 22, 2021. An Environmental Impact Study (EIS) was required to satisfy Regional Environmental Planning policy; specifically Regional Official Plan (ROP)(2014) policy 7.B.1.11, an EIS is generally required in support of development or site alteration proposed within 50m of Significant Woodland.

An initial site meeting with Adam Boudens, Niagara Region, Senior Environmental Planner was held on July 26, 2021. The purpose of this site meeting was to review the existing conditions and discuss scoping for the EIS. The subject property is generally located near the northeast end of the developed portion of Port Colborne. It is bound by Highway 140 to the east, Chippawa Road to the north and a mix of residential and Significant Woodland to the west and south. The subject property is characterized as a single residence with lawn, trees and sheds (0.5ha) fronting on to Chippawa Road with active agricultural lands (5.8ha) to the south. The dripline of the Significant Woodland where it abuts the subject property was surveyed using a mapping grade GPS capable of less than 0.5m horizontal accuracy. Through this site meeting it was confirmed that the Significant Woodland to the west was generally poor guality with extensive canopy dieback as a result of Emerald Ash Borer (EAB)(Agrilus planipennis) damage. The resulting vegetation community structure was a mosaic of forest and thicket and showed an abundance of non-native species including Common Buckthorn (Rhamnus cathartica). In contrast the Significant Woodland to the south and closer to Highway 140 was higher quality and comprised a mid-aged assemblage of Bur Oak (Quercus macrocarpa), Red Oak (Quercus rubra) and Shagbark Hickory (Carya ovata).

The proposed development at that time was to be contained entirely within the subject property and appropriately set-back from the Significant Woodland. As the Significant Woodland was located off property and because there were no other natural features on the subject property it was agreed that the EIS would consist of a simple letter report identifying appropriate woodland buffers and mitigation including rear yard fencing and a buffer restoration planting plan.

On June 23, 2022 a follow-up site visit was conducted with Adam Boudens to discuss a potential emergency access through the Significant Woodland in the southeast corner of the property to connect with Berkley Avenue. Subsequent to that visit, NRSI prepared a workplan to complete a more fulsome EIS to address the proposed access being constructed within the Significant Woodland. On July 3, 2022, prior to completing any additional studies I was notified

that there was no longer a requirement for an emergency access. As such, the proposed development was revised and is now wholly contained within the subject property and outside of any natural features.

Background Review

For the purposes of this report, the term "subject property" refers to the lands owned by the proponent including the area where the development is proposed to occur. The term "study area" refers to the subject property, and lands surrounding the subject lands, to include adjacent lands within 120 m. Additional information was collected and reviewed, as could be gathered without direct access to these areas, for the study area. Existing natural heritage information was collected and reviewed to identify key natural heritage features, habitats and species that are reported from, or have the potential to occur within the study area. The following background information sources were reviewed to provide an accurate understanding of the physical and biological attributes within the study area:

- Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF);
- Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA);
- City of Port Colborne Official Plan (2020);
- Niagara Region Official Plan (2014);
- NPCA Natural Heritage Areas Inventory (NPCA 2010);
- Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) (MNRF 2020b);
- Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas (OBBA) (Cadman et al. 2007);
- Ontario Reptile and Amphibian Atlas (ORAA) (Ontario Nature 2019);
- Ontario Butterfly Atlas (Macnaughton et al. 2020);
- Atlas of the Mammals of Ontario (Dobbyn 1994);
- Rare Plant Atlas (Oldham 2017); and
- Ontario Odonata Atlas (MNRF 2019).

Species lists were compiled to provide information on species reported from within the vicinity of the study area based on data available from the wildlife atlases listed above. These atlases provide data based on 10x10 km survey squares. Information on species from the survey squares that overlap with the study area (17PH4352, 17PH4351, 17PH4452 and 17PH4451) were compiled. These initial species lists were used to guide the Species at Risk (SAR) and Significant Wildlife Habitat (SWH) screening.

<u>Methods</u>

Species at Risk Screening

Based on the compiled species lists for the study area, a screening exercise was completed to assess the potential for reported SAR to occur in the study area. This involved cross-

referencing the preferred habitat for reported SAR (MNRF 2000, Oldham 2017 Reznicek et al. 2011) against habitats known to occur in the study area. This exercise was completed to ensure that the potential presence of all SAR within the study area was adequately assessed in this study.

Species at Risk are those listed on the SAR in Ontario List (SARO) (MNRF 2020a). These include species identified by the Committee on the Status of Species at Risk in Ontario (COSSARO) as provincially Endangered, Threatened, or Special Concern. Species listed by COSSARO as Endangered or Threatened are protected by the Endangered Species Act, 2007 (ESA), which includes protection of their habitat, and are referred to as regulated SAR. Species listed as Special Concern are included in the definition of SCC, which comprises the following:

- Species designated provincially as Special Concern;
- Species that have been assigned a conservation status (S-Rank) of S1 to S3 or SH by NHIC; and
- Species that are designated federally as Threatened or Endangered by the Committee for the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), but not provincially by COSSARO. If these species are listed under the Species at Risk Act (SARA) under Schedule 1, they are protected by the federal Act but not provincially by the ESA.

Full SAR screening results are appended.

Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening

A screening exercise was completed to assess the presence of Significant Wildlife Habitat (SWH) within the study area. SWH is protected under the Ontario Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) (OMMAH 2020) and is described in the MNRF Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide (SWHTG) (MNRF 2000) as being comprised of four major categories of habitat:

- Seasonal concentration areas;
- Rare vegetation communities and specialized wildlife habitat;
- · Habitats of species of conservation concern; and
- Animal movement corridors.

Specific criteria defining wildlife habitat significance for Ecoregion 7E are described in the SWHTG Addendum (MNRF 2015). Individual SWH types within these four broad categories were assessed as either not present, candidate, or confirmed for the study area based on a comparison of significance criteria against information obtained from relevant background documents.

Full SWH screening results are appended.

<u>Results</u>

Based on the results of the SAR screening there is candidate habitat for 6 species within the study area. These species include 1 bird, 3 snakes and 2 bats. They would typically be restricted to the natural areas found adjacent to the subject property or beyond within the study

area. There is a possibility that the bat species could be using the house or trees around the house for roosting (refer to Direct Impacts below for further discussion).

Based on the results of the SWH screening, the following are candidate SWH within the property or Study Area:

- Maternity Bat Colony (Study Area Candidate; Subject Property No);
- Reptile Hibernaculum (Study Area Candidate; Subject Property Candidate);
- Landbird Migratory Stopover (Study Area Candidate; Subject Property Candidate);
- Amphibian Breeding Habitat (Wetland) (Study Area Candidate; Subject Property No); and
- Special Concern and Rare Wildlife Species (Study Area Candidate; Subject Property -No);

Buffers

Buffers are typically required for natural heritage features such as woodlands, wetlands, significant wildlife habitats, and watercourses to protect them from impacts during development and to provide long-term protection to their form and function. Woodland buffers are prescribed based on protecting the trees and their root zones and on providing associated open, edge habitats required by some forest species or for movement. Properly functioning buffers protect natural features against sedimentation, erosion, provide attenuation of precipitation and run-off, protect against human disturbances, serve as habitat transition zones, and contribute to the protection of the natural feature through, for example, maintaining microclimate conditions and limiting the spread of invasive species to within the sensitive natural feature.

A Significant Woodland, which may support Candidate SWH, is present adjacent to and abutting the subject property. A buffer is recommended to be applied to this natural feature in order to protect the feature and its ecological functions. Along the entirety of the southern property boundary a dripline plus 10m buffer is recommended. Along the western property boundary a dripline plus 5m buffer is recommended.

Proposed Development

The subject property is proposed to be developed as a mix of single detached, semi-detached and townhome condominiums. Access will be via a single entrance, exit to Chippawa Road. A stormwater management pond is proposed in the southeast corner.

Approach to Impact Analysis

Potential impacts arising from the proposed development are determined by comparing the details of the proposed undertaking with the characteristics of the existing natural features and their functions. Where the development limits overlap with the natural features or indirectly affect their functions, impacts may arise. The following is a description of the types of impacts which will be discussed.

- Direct impacts to the natural features within the subject property associated with disruption or displacement caused by the actual proposed 'footprint' of the development, including impacts caused by site grading and the installation of site servicing features.
- Indirect impacts associated with changes in site conditions such as drainage, water balance and water quantity/quality, and effects of construction on adjacent natural features.
- Induced impacts associated with post-construction use of the development such as disturbance or degradation of adjacent natural features and species habitats and created by increased human habitation/use of the area and vicinity.

Direct Impacts

The proposed development will not result in any direct impacts to the Significant Woodland. The removal of the existing house and surrounding trees will be the only loss of trees and potential wildlife habitat. Vegetation clearing has the potential to directly impact bird breeding activity through damage and destruction of nests, eggs and young, or avoidance of the area by breeding adults. Vegetation clearing (trees and shrubs) should therefore occur outside the bird nesting season of late March to late August so as to limit disturbances to nesting activities of birds and to avoid destruction of active nests. The destruction of migratory birds and their nests is prohibited under the federal Migratory Birds Convention Act.

Trees as well as the house and shed(s)have the potential to provide bat roosting habitat. To ensure compliance with the Endangered Species Act they must be examined for potential SAR bat habitat. If the house or sheds are deemed to have potential roosting habitat then exit surveys will be required during the peak maternity period in June and July to assess presence of SAR bats. Any trees having potential roosting habitat should be removed outside the bat active period of April 1 to September 30.

Indirect Impacts

Construction of the proposed development has the potential to cause indirect impacts to adjacent natural features and functions if not mitigated appropriately. Vegetation clearing and other construction activities have the potential to inadvertently destroy, damage and degrade existing vegetation along the development limits unless the development limit boundaries are clearly marked. For example, construction activities can cause scarring and decreased health of adjacent trees whose branches or root systems have been damaged by machinery or affected by construction-related dust and sedimentation. Damage to trees and other vegetation can also be caused by the compaction of soils within tree rooting zones along the new woodland edges to be created at the development limits.

Direct damage and indirect disturbances can cause stresses on the natural features that weaken their ecological integrity. In these states, natural features are more prone to establishment and proliferation of invasive, non-native species such as Common Buckthorn. Proliferation of invasive, non-native species within natural communities decreases their ecological value such as by suppressing native species, diminishing biodiversity and reducing habitat suitability.

To limit ecological impacts during construction, clearly defined construction limits should be established to avoid unnecessary vegetation removal. Tree protection fencing should be installed and conform to municipal guidelines in terms of fencing type, signage requirements, etc. Where tree protection fencing is not required along construction area limits, other forms of

boundary demarcation should be used which may include silt fencing for erosion and sediment control purposes or brightly-coloured snow fencing.

Designated areas for construction lay-down, vehicle access and parking, equipment storage, materials stockpiling, and any on-site construction offices should be located entirely outside the retained natural features, and preferably located as far away as possible so as to limit potential to indirectly impact the adjacent natural features.

Potential indirect impacts to natural features and wildlife may also arise from noise, vibrations, human presence, dust and artificial lighting associated with construction activities. These construction-related disturbances may cause wildlife to temporarily avoid the immediate area.

General wildlife impacts can be mitigated by restricting the daily timing of construction activities to between 7:00 and 19:00 hrs. This timing restriction should also apply to the use of generators or pumps insofar as possible. Any artificial lighting used for construction purposes should be turned off or directed away from the adjacent natural features following the completion of daily construction activities.

Potential impacts resulting from noise and vibrations are expected to be temporary, minimal and localized during the construction of the proposed development. Significant effects on wildlife are not anticipated and it is expected that displaced wildlife species will return to the vicinity of the subject property following construction.

During vegetation removal and site grading activities, areas of bare soil will be exposed which have the potential to erode during rainfall events and impact adjacent natural features. The removal of vegetation in combination with the presence of exposed soils during construction activities may also increase the potential for stormwater flow to down-slope areas if not appropriately mitigated. Increased stormwater surface flow and erosion processes may cause the deposition of sediments onto down-slope vegetation and receiving water bodies, ultimately causing vegetation die-back or impaired health.

Soil compaction also has potential to occur as a result of heavy machinery in the area of development. Soil compaction can greatly reduce the permeability of soils and affect their ability to retain water during rain/snow melt events. This will result in an increase in surface water run-off which will ultimately increase the erosion potential and the amount of sediment being transported into adjacent natural features.

In order to protect adjacent and abutting natural features from potential impacts due to sediment, an Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plan should be developed prior to any construction activities on-site. The primary principles associated with sedimentation and erosion protection measures are to: (1) minimize the duration of soil exposure, (2) retain existing vegetation, where feasible, (3) encourage re-vegetation, (4) divert runoff away from exposed soils, (5) keep runoff velocities low, and (6) trap sediment as close to the source as possible.

The following general recommendations should be implemented to mitigate erosion and sedimentation impacts:

- Installation of silt fencing along the construction limits in all locations where run-off will discharge to adjacent lands and natural features.
- ESC measures must be regularly inspected and repaired or replaced in a timely manner. Accumulated sediment must be removed immediately.

• Placement of topsoil and seeding of all graded areas not subject to active construction within 30 days. A native seed mix, appropriate to the site conditions, should be applied in areas adjacent to existing natural features.

It is also recommended that topsoil piles be located away from adjacent natural features and that silt fencing be installed around piles to prevent off-site migration of water-borne sediments.

The impact resulting from soil compaction can be mitigated by restricting the use of construction vehicles and equipment to the construction footprint, and by locating material stockpile and equipment storage locations away from the natural features.

Induced Impacts

Establishment of the proposed development will increase the potential for human disturbances to the adjacent natural features if not appropriately mitigated. In particular, the development may lead to increased human access to the Significant Woodland, with associated potential for habitat degradation (e.g., vegetation trampling or damage, littering, wearing of informal paths and associated soil erosion). Increased human population in the immediate vicinity will also increase the potential for human-subsidized mammals, such as the Northern Raccoon (Procyon lotor), to access surrounding natural areas. Easier access provided to these animal groups may impact nesting success and direct mortality among certain wildlife species, such as passerine birds, amphibians and reptiles. Continuous rear yard chain link fencing without gates is recommended along the buffer limits to mitigate potential impacts associated with human access or encroachment to the Significant Woodland.

Restoration and Enhancement

The proposed buffer areas separating the development from the Significant Woodland should be established with appropriate native vegetation. A Buffer Enhancement Planting Plan is recommended to be completed as a Condition of Approval and installed in advance of or during the construction period. Species used should be native to Niagara Region and not include any species that are listed as introduced, or locally, provincially or federally significant.

Summary

Natural Resource Solutions Inc. was retained to complete an EIS for the proposed development of a residential subdivision in Port Colborne, Ontario. This EIS characterized the natural heritage features adjacent to the subject property through a comprehensive background information review coupled with screening for SWH and SAR.

Recommendations have been provided to minimize impacts and mitigate potential negative effects caused by the proposed development. These include recommendations to mitigate direct, indirect and induced impacts that may arise through construction and post-construction human use of the proposed development.

Should you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely, Natural Resource Solutions Inc.

Brett Woodman, M.E.S. Senior Biologist and Certified Arborist

Encl. Map 1. Subject Property Map 2. Development Plan SAR Screening Table SWH Screening Table









Species at Risk (SAR) Screening Table

Common Name	Scientific Name	SRANK	SARO	COSEWIC	SARA	SARA Schedule	NRSI Observed	Habitat Source	Habitat Preference	Suitable Habitats within Study Area	Carried Forward to EIS?	Rationale
Birds	I		1	1		1		T				T
Eastern Whip-poor-will	Antrostomus vociferus	S4B	THR	т	т	Schedule 1		Recovery Strategy for the Eastern Whip-poor-will (MECP 2019)	Areas with a mix of open and forested areas, such as open woodlands, savannas, pine plantations, woodland edges, or openings in more mature deciduous, coniferous and mixed forests. Forages in open areas and uses forested areas for roosting and nesting.	No	No	No woodlands are present within the subject property. Woodlands within the study area are not of suitable size.
Chimney Swift	Chaetura pelagica	S3B	THR	т	т	Schedule 1		Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide: Appendix G (OMNR 2000)	Commonly found in urban areas near buildings; nests in chimneys, hollow trees,and crevices of rock cliffs. Feeds over open water.	No	No	Suitable urban areas for nesting are not within the subject property or the surrounding study area.
l east Bittern	Ixobruchus exilis	54B	THR	т	т	Schedule 1		Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide: Appendix G (OMNR 2000)	Strongly prefers cattali marshes with a mix of open pools and channels. Also found in swamps and bogs and marshy borders of lakes, ponds, streams and ditches with dense emergent vegetation of cattail, bulrush and sedge. Nests in cattails. Intolerant of loss of habitat and human disturbance.	No	No	Suitable wetland habitat is not found within the subject property or study area.
Red-headed Woodpecker	Melanerpes erythrocephalus	53	END	E	E	Schedule 1		Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide: Appendix G (OMNR 2000)	Open, deciduous forest with little understory; fields, parks or pasture lands with scattered large trees; wooded swamps; orchards, small woodlots or forest edges; groves of dead or dying trees. Requires cavity trees with at least 40 cm dbh.	Probable	Yes	Suitable forest habitat is present adjacent to the subject property and incluced in the study area.
Acadian Elycatcher	Emnidonax virescens	S1B	END	F	F	Schedule 1		Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide: Appendix G (OMNR 2000)	Mature, shady, deciduous and mixed forests; heavily wooded ravines; creek bottoms or river swamps. Generally needs at least 30 ha of forest.	No	No	Suitable forest habiat is not present in sufficient quantities within the subject property or study area.
Henslow's Sparrow	Centronyx henslowii	S1B	END	E	E	Schedule 1		Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide: Appendix G (OMNR 2000)	Large, fallow, grassy area with ground mat of dead vegetation, dense herbaceous vegetation, ground litter and some song perches; neglected weedy fields; wet meadows; cultivated uplands. Requires a minimum tract of grassland of 40 ha, but usually in areas >100 ha.	No	No	Suitable meadow habitat of sufficient size is not found within the subject property or study area.
Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivorus	S4B	THR	sc	т	Schedule 1		Recovery Strategy for the Bobolink and Eastern Meadowlark in Ontario (McCracken et al. 2013)	Large (>10 ha), open expansive grasslands, pastures, hayfields, meadows or fallow fields with dense ground cover. Occassionally nest in large (>50 ha) fields of winter wheat and rye in southwestern Ontario.	No	No	Suitable meadow habitat of sufficient size is not found within the subject property or study area.
								Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide: Appendix G (OMNR 2000)	Open pastures, hayfields, grasslands or grassy meadows with elevated singing perches (small trees, shrubs or fence posts). Also weedy borders of croplands, roadsides, orchards, airports, shrubby overgrown fields or other open areas. Generally prefers larger tracts of habitat >10 ha, but will sometimes use smaller tracts.	No	No	Suitable meadow habitat of sufficient size is not found within the subject property or study area.
Eastern Meadowlark Reptiles and	Sturnella magna	S4B,S3N	THR	T	T	Schedule 1						
Amphibians	1			1					T	1		
Blanding's Turtle (Great Lakes / St. Lawrence population)	Emvdoidea blandinaii	53	THR	E	E	Schedule 1		Recovery Strategy for the Blanding's Turtle (MECP 2019)	Eutrophic, shallow wetlands such as marshes, ponds, swamps, bogs, fens, or coastal wetlands, with soft, muddy substrates, abundant aquatic vegetation, and basking structures (logs, stumps, hummocks). Large overland movements occur between aquatic habitats and to open sandy or gravelly areas for nesting. Forest habitat is important for upland movements. Overwintering typically occurs in permanent wetlands.	No	No	No suitable wetland habitat or water features are present within the subject property or study area.
Gray Ratsnake (Carolinian	Pantherophis spiloides pop. 2	51	END	E	E	Schedule 1		Recovery Strategy for the Gray Ratsnake (Kraus, T., B. Hutchinson, S. Thompson & K. Prior. 2010)	Found in a mix of agricultural land and deciduous forest, preferring habitat where forest meets more open environments. Nests in cavities of large deciduous trees, stumps, logs or compost piles. Overwinters in underground cracks and crevices.	Probable	Yes	Suitable forest and agricultural land is present within the subject property and surrounding study area.
Eastern Hog-nosed Snake	Heterodon platirhinos	53	THR	т	т	Schedule 1		Recovery Strategy for the Eastern Hog–nosed Snake (Kraus, T. 2011)	Open habitats, such as open woods, brushland or forest edges, with well- drained loose or sandy soils, well-drained substrates. Specializes in hunting and eating toads; occurs in habitats near or adjacent to wetland habitats where toads are present. Rocks, logs, stumps, etc. are used for shelter. Use snout to dig nests as well as to dig burrows for nearwinting.	Probable	Yes	Suitable open woodland habitat may be present within the subject property and surrounding stidy area.

Species at Risk (SAR) Screening Table

Common Name	Scientific Name	SRANK	SARO	COSEWIC	SARA	SARA Schedule	NRSI Observed	Habitat Source	Habitat Preference	Suitable Habitats within Study Area	Carried Forward to EIS?	Rationale
Massasauga (Carolinian population)	Sistrurus catenatus pop. 2	S1	END	E	E	Schedule 1		Massasauga Rattlesnake General Habitat Description (MECP 2018)	Semi-open or open habitats such as meadows, clearings, tall grass prairie, as well as bogs, marshes, forests, and forest edges. Require open areas to warm themselves in the sun. Foraging occurs in lowland habitats such as grasslands, wetlands, and bogs. Hibernate underground in mammal or crayfish burrows, root systems in shrub or forest communities.	Possible	Yes	Suitable meadow habitat may be present within the subject property and surrounding study area.
Fowler's Toad	Anaxyrus fowleri	S2	END	E	E	Schedule 1		Recovery Strategy for the Fowler's Toad (Green, D. M., A. R. Yagi, & S. E. Hamill. 2011)	Open beaches, dunes, sandy shorelines, rocky pools, creek and stream mouths, backshore wetlands, and marshes along the northern shore of Lake Erie. Breeds in early successional wetlands, drains and stream mouths that open onto sand beaches, bedrock pools, shallow bays, and ponds, with either sand or bedrock substrates. Overwinters in sand dunes and areas of deep sand where toads can dig below the frost line.	No	No	Suitable wetland and shoreline habitat is not found within the subject property or study area.
Mammals												
Little Brown Myotis	Myotis lucifugus	53	END	E	E	Schedule 1		Recovery Strategy for the Little Brown Myotis, Northern Myotis and Tri-colored Bat in Ontario (Humphrey, C. & H. Fortherby. 2019)	Uses caves, quarries, tunnels, hollow trees or buildings for roosting. Winters in humid caves. Maternity sites in dark warm areas such as attics and barns. Feeds primarily in wetlands and forest edges.	Possible	Yes	Suitable roosting habitat may be present in isolated trees within the subject property and surrounding study area.
Tri-colored Bat	Perimyotis subflavus	53?	END	E	E	Schedule 1		Recovery Strategy for the Little Brown Myotis, Northern Myotis and Tri-colored Bat in Ontario (Humphrey, C. & H. Fortherby. 2019)	Roosts and maternity colonies in older forests and occassionally in barns or other sturctures. Forage over water and along streams in the forest. Hibernate in caves.	Possible	Yes	Suitable roosting habitat may be present in isolated trees within the subject property and surrounding study area.

Reference List

A. A. Reznicek, E. G. Voss, & B. S. Walters. Michigan Flora Online. University of Michigan. Published: February 2011. Available: https://michiganflora.net/browse.aspx.

Falconer, M., K. Richardson, A. Heagy, D. Tozer, B. Stewart, J. McCracken, and R. Reid. 2016. Recovery Strategy for the Bank Swallow (Riparia riparia) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Peterborough, Ontario. ix + 70 pp.

Government of Canada. 2022. Species at Risk Public Registry: Species Search. COSEWIC Last Assessment Date: 2022-05-11. Available: https://species-registry.canada.ca/index-en.html#/species?sortBy=commonNameSortBsortDirection=asc&pageSize=10

Green, D.M., A.R. Yagi, and S.E. Hamili. 2011. Recovery Strategy for the Fowler's Toad (Anaxyrus fowleri) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough, Ontario. vi + 21 pp. https://www.ontario.ca/page/fowlers-toad-recovery-Strategy#section-1

Humphrey, C. and H. Fotherby. 2019. Recovery Strategy for the Little Brown Myotis (Myotis Jucifugus), Northern Myotis (Myotis septentionalis) and Tri-colored Bat (Perimyotis subflavus) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Peterborough, Ontario. vii + 35 pp.

+ Appendix. Adoption of the Recovery Strategy for the Little Brown Myotis (Myotis lucifugus), the Northern Myotis (Myotis septentrionalis), and the Tri-colored Bat (Perimyotis subflavus) in Canada (Environment and Climate Change Canada 2018).

Kraus, T. 2011. Recovery Strategy for the Eastern Hog-nosed Snake (Heterodon platinhinos) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough, Ontario. i + 6 pp + Appendix vi + 24 pp.

Adoption of the Recovery Strategy for the Eastern Hog-nosed Snake (Heterodon platirhinos) in Canada (Seburn, 2009). https://www.ontario.ca/page/eastern-hog-nosed-snake-recovery-Strategy#section-1

Kraus, T., B. Hutchinson, S. Thompson and K. Prior. 2010. Recovery Strategy for the Gray Ratsnake (Pantherophis spiloides) – Carolinian and Frontenac Axis populations in Ontario. Ontario. Ontario. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough, Ontario. vi + 23 pp. https://www.ontario.ca/page/gray-ratsnake-recovery-Strategy#section-1

McCracken, J.D., R.A. Reid, R.B. Renfrew, B. Frei, J.V. Jalava, A. Cowle, and A.R. Couturier. 2013. Recovery Strategy for the Bobolink (Dolichonyx oryzivorus) and Eastern Meadowlark (Sturnella magna) in Ontario. Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough, Ontario. Viii + 88 pp.

Ministry of Northern Development, Mines, Natural Resources and Forestry (NDMNRF). 2022. Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC): Species List for Ontario. Published: 2014-07-17. All Species List Updated: 2022-04-11. Available: https://www.ontario.ca/agae/get-natural-heritage-information

Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). 2018. Massasauga Rattlesnake General Habitat Description. Updated: July 9, 2021 Published: December 19, 2018. https://www.ontario.ca/page/massasauga-rattlesnake-general-habitat-description

Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. 2019. Recovery Strategy for the Blanding's Turtle (Emydoidea blandingii) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Peterborough, Ontario. iv + 6 pp.

+ Appendix. Adoption of the Recovery Strategy for Blanding's Turtle (Emydoidea blandingii), Great Lakes / St. Lawrence population, in Canada (Environment and Climate Change Canada 2018). https://www.ontario.ca/page/blandings-turtle-recovery-Strategy#section-1 Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. 2019. Recovery Strategy for the Eastern Whip-poor-will (Antrostomus vociferus) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. 2019. Recovery Strategy for the Eastern Whip-poor-will (Antrostomus vociferus) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. 2019. Recovery Strategy for the Eastern Whip-poor-will (Antrostomus vociferus) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. 2019.

+ Appendix. Adoption of the Recovery Strategy for Eastern Whip-poor-will (Antrostomus vociferus), in Canada (Environment and Climate Change Canada 2018).

Ministry of the Environment. Conservation. and Parks (MECP), 2022. Species at Risk in Ontario. Published: 2018-07-12. Updated: 2022-04-01. Available: https://www.ontario.ca/page/species-risk-ontario

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (OMNR). 2000. Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide. Appendix G: Wildlife Habitat Matrices and Habitat Descriptions for Rare Vascular Plants. October 2000.

Significant Wildlife Habitat Assessment Tables

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habit	at: Waterfowl Stopover and Stagi	ng Areas (Terrestrial)			
Rationale: Habitat important to migrating waterfowl	American Black Duck Northern Pintail Gadwall Blue-winged Teal Green-winged Teal American Wigeon Northern Shoveler Tundra Swan	CUM1 CUT1 - Plus evidence of annual spring flooding from melt water or run-off within these Ecosites. - Fields with seasonal flooding and waste grain in the Long Point, Rondeau, Lake. St. Clair, Grand Bend and Pt. Pelee areas may be important to Tundra Swans.	 Fields with sheet water during Spring (mid March to May). Fields flooding during spring melt and run-off provide important invertebrate foraging habitat for migrating waterfowl. Agricultural fields with waste grains are commonly used by waterfowl, these are not considered SWH unless they have spring sheet water available^{cd/viii} Information Sources Anecdotal information from the landowner, adjacent landowners or local naturalist clubs may be good information in determining occurrence. Reports and other information available from Conservation Authorities (CAs) Sites documented through waterfowl planning processes (eg. EHJV implementation plan) Field Naturalist Clubs Ducks Unlimited Canada Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) Waterfowl Concentration Area 	Studies carried out and verified presence of an annual concentration of any listed species, evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects" ^{ccvi} • Any mixed species aggregations of 100 ¹ or more individuals required. • The area of the flooded field ecosite habitat plus a 100-300m radius buffer dependant on local site conditions and adjacent land use is the significant wildlife habitat ^{cdviii} . • Annual use of habitat is documented from information sources or field studies (annual use can be based on studies or determined by past surveys with species numbers and dates). • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #7 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	Aerial imagery does not suggest the presence of spring sheet water within the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habita	at: Waterfowl Stopover and Stagi	ng Areas (Aquatic)			
Rationale: Important for local and migrant waterfowl populations during the spring or fall migration or both periods combined. Sites identified are usually only one of a few in the eco-district	Canada Goose Cackling Goose Snow Goose Green-winged Teal American Black Duck Northern Pintail Northern Shoveler American Wigeon Gadwall Blue-winged Teal Hooded Merganser Common Merganser Red-breasted Merganser Lesser Scaup Greater Scaup Greater Scaup Common Goldeneye Bufflehead Long-tailed Duck Surf Scoter White-winged Scoter Black Scoter Canvasback Redhead Ruddy Duck Brant White-winged Scoter Black Scoter	MAS1 MAS2 MAS3 SAS1 SAF1 SWD1 SWD2 SWD3 SWD4 SWD5 SWD6 SWD7	 Ponds, marshes, lakes, bays, coastal inlets, and watercourses used during migration. Sewage treatment ponds and storm water ponds do not qualify as a SWH, however a reservoir managed as a large wetland or pond/lake does qualify. These habitats have an abundant food supply (mostly aquatic invertebrates and vegetation in shallow water). <u>Information Sources</u> Environment Canada Naturalist clubs often are aware of staging/stopover areas OMNRF Wetland Evaluations indicate presence of locally and regionally significant waterfowl staging. Sites documented through waterfowl planning processes (eg. EHJV implementation plan) Ducks Unlimited projects Element occurrence specification by Nature Serve: http://www.natureserve.org Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) Waterfowl Concentration Area 	Studies carried out and verified presence of: • Aggregations of 100 ⁱ or more of listed species for 7 days ⁱ , results in >700 waterfowl use days. • Areas with annual staging of ruddy ducks, canvasbacks, and redheads are SWH ^{cxlix} • The combined area of the ELC ecosites and a 100m radius area is the SWH ^{cxliii} • Wetland area and shorelines associated with sites identified within the SWHTG ^{cxlviii} Appendix K ^{cxlix} are significant wildlife habitat. • Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects ^{wccxl} • Annual Use of Habitat is Documented from Information Sources or Field Studies (Annual can be based on completed studies or determined from past surveys with species numbers and dates recorded). • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #7 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	No suitable water bodies are present within the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habita	at: Shorebird Migratory Stopover	Area			
Rationale: High quality shorebird stopover habitat is extremely rare and typically has a long history of use	Greater Yellowlegs Lesser Yellowlegs Marbled Godwit Hudsonian Godwit Black-bellied Plover American Golden-Plover Semipalmated Plover Solitary Sandpiper Spotted Sandpiper Semipalmated Sandpiper Pectoral Sandpiper Baird's Sandpiper Least Sandpiper Purple Sandpiper Stilt Sandpiper Stilt Sandpiper Stilt Sandpiper Red-necked Phalarope Whimbrel Ruddy Turnstone Sanderling Dunlin	BBO1 BBO2 BBS1 BBS2 BBT1 BBT2 SDO1 SDS2 SDT1 MAM1 MAM2 MAM3 MAM4 MAM5	Shorelines of lakes, rivers and wetlands, including beach areas, bars and seasonally flooded, muddy and un-vegetated shoreline habitats. Great Lakes coastal shorelines, including groynes and other forms of armour rock lakeshores, are extremely important for migratory shorebirds in May to mid-June and early July to October. Sewage treatment ponds and storm water ponds do not qualify as a SWH. <u>Information Sources</u> • Western hemisphere shorebird reserve network • Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) Ontario Shorebird Survey • Bird Studies Canada • Ontario Nature • Local birders and naturalist clubs • Natural Heritage Information Center (NHIC) Shorebird Migratory Concentration Area	Studies confirming: • Presence of 3 or more of listed species and > 1000 ¹ shorebird use days during spring or fall migration period (shorebird use days are the accumulated number of shorebirds counted per day over the course of the fall or spring migration period). • Whimbrel stop briefly (<24hrs) during spring migration, any site with >100 ¹ Whimbrel used for 3 years or more is significant. • The area of significant shorebird habitat includes the mapped ELC shoreline ecosites plus a 100m radius area ^{cxlviii} • Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects ^{wCcxl} • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #8 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	The Subject Property and Study Area are not on the shoreline of lakes, rivers, or wetlands. No suitable habitat is available. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habit	at: Raptor Wintering Area				
Rationale: Sites used by multiple species, a high number of individuals and used annually are most significant	Rough-legged Hawk Red-tailed Hawk Northern Harrier American Kestrel Snowy Owl <u>Special Concern</u> : Short-eared Owl Bald Eagle	Hawks/Owls: Combination of ELC Community Series; need to have present one Community Series from each land class. Forest: FOD, FOM, FOC Upland: CUM, CUT, CUS, CUW Bald Eagle: Forest Community Series: FOD, FOM, FOC, SWD, SWM, or SWC, on shoreline areas adjacent to large rivers or adjacent to lakes with open water (hunting area).	The habitat provides a combination of fields and woodlands that provide roosting, foraging and resting habitats for wintering raptors. Raptor wintering (hawk/owl) sites need to be > 20ha ^{cxtviii, cxtii, xxii, xxi, xxi} , xxi, xxi, xxi, xxi,	Studies confirm the use of these habitats by: • One or more Short-eared Owls, or, One of more Bald Eagles or; at least 10 individuals and two listed hawk/owl species • To be significant a site must be used regularly (3 in 5 years) ^{cxlix} for a minimum of 20 days by the above number of birds ¹ . • The habitat area for an Eagle winter site is the shoreline forest ecosites directly adjacent to the prime hunting area. • Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects ^{wccxi} • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #10 and #11 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	The Subject Property is in active agricultural production and is approximately 6ha in size. Woodlands adjacent to the Subject Property, within the Study Area, are suitable but no suitable adjacent upland habitats are present. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area					
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details					
Wildlife Habita	Idlife Habitat: Bat Hibernacula									
Rationale: Bat hibernacula, are rare habitats in all Ontario landscapes.	Big Brown Bat Eastern Pipistrelle/Tri-colored Bat	Bat Hibernacula may be found in these ecosites: CCR1 CCR2 CCA1 CCA2 (Note: buildings are not considered to be SWH)	Hibernacula may be found in caves, mine shafts, underground foundations and Karsts. Active mine sites should not be considered The locations of bat hibernacula are relatively poorly known. <u>Information Sources</u> • OMNRF for possible locations and contact for local experts • Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) Bat Hibernaculum • Ministry of Northern Development and Mines for location of mine shafts • Clubs that explore caves (eg. Sierra Club) • University Biology Departments with bat experts	 All sites with confirmed hibernating bats are SWH^I. The area includes 200m radius around the entrance of the hibernaculum^{cxt/viii, ccvii, f}. for the development types and 1000m for wind farms ^{ccv.} Studies are to be conducted during the peak swarming period (Aug. – Sept.). Surveys should be conducted following methods outlined in the^{ccv.}"Bats and Bat Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects" ^{ccvi} SWHMIST^{cxlix} Index #1 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	None of the listed communities are present within the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH					

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habita	at: Bat Maternity Colonies				
Rationale: Known locations of forested bat maternity colonies are extremely rare in all Ontario landscapes.	Big Brown Bat Silver-haired Bat	Maternity colonies considered SWH are found in forested Ecosites. All ELC Ecosites in ELC Community Series: FOD FOM SWD SWM	Maternity colonies can be found in tree cavities, vegetation and often in building ^{sodi, xov, xovi, x}	Maternity Colonies with confirmed use by: • >10 Big Brown Bats ¹ • >5 Adult Female Silver-haired Bats ¹ • The area of the habitat includes the entire woodland or the forest stand ELC Ecosite containing the maternity colonies ¹ . • Evaluation methods for maternity colonies should be conducted following methods outlined in the "Bats and Bat Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects" ^{CCV} . • SWHMIST ^{Codix} Index #12 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	The Subject Property does not contain any of the listed communities. Woodlands within the Study Area, adjacent to the Subject Property, may provide suitable habitat. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Candiate SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habita	at: Turtle Wintering Area				
Rationale: Generally sites are the only known sites in the area. Sites with the highest number of individuals are most significant.	Midland Painted Turtle <u>Special Concern</u> : Northern Map Turtle Snapping Turtle	Snapping and Midland Painted Turtles: ELC Community Classes: SW, MA, OA and SA ELC Community Series: FEO and BOO Northern Map Turtle: Open Water areas such as deeper rivers or streams and lakes with current can also be used as over- wintering habitat.	 For most turtles, wintering areas are in the same general area as their core habitat. Water has to be deep enough not to freeze and have soft mud substrates. Over-wintering sites are permanent water bodies, large wetlands, and bogs or fens with adequate Dissolved Oxygen^{cix, cx, cxi, cxviii}. Man-made ponds such as sewage lagoons or storm water ponds should not be considered SWH Information Sources EIS studies carried out by Conservation Authorities Field naturalists clubs OMNRF Ecologist or Biologist Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) 	 Presence of 5 over-wintering Midland Painted Turtles is significant¹. One or more Northern Map Turtle or Snapping Turtle over-wintering within a wetland is significant¹. The mapped ELC ecosite area with the over wintering turtles is the SWH. If the hibernation site is within a stream or river, the deep-water pool where the turtles are over wintering is the SWH. Over wintering areas may be identified by searching for congregations (Basking Areas) of turtles on warm, sunny days during the fall (Sept. – Oct.) or spring (Mar. – Apr)^{ovii}. Congregation of turtles is more common where wintering areas are limited and therefore significant^{cik, cx, cxi, cxii}. SWHMIST^{cxlix} Index #28 provides development effects and mitigation measures for turtle wintering habitat. 	No waterbodies are present within the Subject Property. A potentially suitable pond is present within the Port Colborne Quarry but it is man- made and therefore does not qualify as SWH. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habit	at: Reptile Hibernaculum				
Rationale: Generally sites are the only known sites in the area. Sites with the highest number of individuals are most significant	Snakes: Eastern Gartersnake Northern Watersnake Northern Brownsnake Smooth Green Snake Northern Ring-necked Snake Special Concern: Milksnake Eastern Ribbonsnake	For all snakes, habitat may be found in any ecosite in southern Ontario other than very wet ones. Talus, Rock Barren, Crevice and Cave, and Alvar sites may be directly related to these habitats. Observations of congregations of snakes on sunny warm days in the spring or fall is a good indicator. The existence of rock piles or slopes, stone fences, and crumbling foundations assist in identifying candidate SWH.	For snakes, hibernation takes place in sites located below frost lines in burrows, rock crevices and other natural locations. Areas of broken and fissured rock are particularly valuable since they provide access to subterranean sites below the frost line ^{xliv, I, II, III, C, XII} . Wetlands can also be important over-wintering habitat in conifer or shrub swamps and swales, poor fens, or depressions in bedrock terrain with sparse trees or shrubs with sphagnum moss or sedge hummock ground cover. Information Sources • In spring, local residents or landowners may have observed the emergence of snakes on their property (e.g. old dug wells). • Reports and other information available from CAs • Local naturalists and experts, as well as university herpetologists may also know where to find some of these sites. • Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC)	Studies confirming: • Presence of snake hibernacula used by a minimum of five individuals of a snake sp., or, individuals of two or more snake spp. • Congregations of a minimum of five individuals of a snake sp., or, individuals of two or more snake spp. near potential hibernacula (eg. foundation or rocky slope) on sunny warm days in Spring (Apr/May) and Fall (Sept/Oct) ¹ . • Note: If there are Special Concern Species present, then site is SWH • Note: Sites for hibernation possess specific habitat parameters (e.g. temperature, humidity, etc.) and consequently are used annually, often by many of the same individuals of a local population (i.e. strong hibernation site fidelity). Other critical life processes (e.g. mating) often take place in close proximity to hibernacula. The feature in which the hibernacula is located plus a 30m buffer is the SWH ¹ . • SWHMIST ^{cxlik} Index #13 provides development effects and mitigation measures for snake hibernacula.	Hibernacula are often difficult to identify. Suitable habitat may be present within the Subject Property and Study Area. Subject Property: Candidate SWH Study Area: Candidate SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area					
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details					
Wildlife Habita	Vildlife Habitat: Colonially - Nesting Bird Breeding Habitat (Bank and Cliff)									
Rationale: Historical use and number of nests in a colony make this habitat significant. An identified colony can be very important to local populations. All swallow population are declining in Ontario.	Cliff Swallow Northern Rough-winged Swallow (this species is not colonial but can be found in Cliff Swallow colonies)	Eroding banks, sandy hills, borrow pits, steep slopes, and sand piles Cliff faces, bridge abutments, silos, barns Habitat found in the following ecosites: CUM1 CUT1 CUS1 BLO1 BLS1 BLT1 CLO1 CLS1 CLT1	 Any site or areas with exposed soil banks, undisturbed or naturally eroding that is not a licensed/permitted aggregate area. Does not include man-made structures (bridges or buildings) or recently (2 years) disturbed soil areas, such as berms, embankments, soil or aggregate stockpiles. Does not include a licensed/permitted Mineral Aggregate Operation. Information Sources Reports and other information available from CAs Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas^{ccv}. Bird Studies Canada: Nature Counts http://www.birdscanada.org/birdmon/ Field Naturalist clubs 	 Studies confirming: Presence of 1 or more nesting sites with 8^{cdvix} or more cliff swallow pairs and/or roughwinged swallow pairs during the breeding season. A colony identified as SWH will include a 50m radius habitat area from the peripheral nests^{ccvii}. Field surveys to observe and count swallow nests are to be completed during the breeding season. Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects^{nccxi}. SWHMIST^{codix} Index #4 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	No suitable banks, that are not within an aggregate area, are present within the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH					

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area					
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details					
Wildlife Habita	/ildlife Habitat: Colonially - Nesting Bird Breeding Habitat (Tree/Shrubs)									
Rationale: Large colonies are important to local bird population, typically sites are only known colony in area and are used annually.	Great Blue Heron Black-crowned Night-Heron Great Egret Green Heron	SWM2 SWM3 SWM5 SWM6 SWD1 SWD2 SWD3 SWD4 SWD5 SWD6 SWD7 FET1	 Nests in live or dead standing trees in wetlands, lakes, islands, and peninsulas. Shrubs and occasionally emergent vegetation may also be used. Most nests in trees are 11 to 15 m from ground, near the top of the tree. Information Sources Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas^{ccv}, colonial nest records. Ontario Heronry Inventory 1991 available from Bird Studies Canada or NHIC (OMNRF). Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) Mixed Wader Nesting Colony Aerial photographs can help identify large heronries. Reports and other information available from CAs MINRF District Offices Field naturalist clubs 	Studies confirming: • Presence of 2 or more active nests of Great Blue Heron or other list species. • The habitat extends from the the edge of the colony and a minimum 300m radius or extent of the Forest Ecosite containing the colony or any island <15.0ha with a colony is the SWH ^{cc, ccvii} . • Confirmation of active colonies must be achieved through site visits conducted during the nesting season (April to August) or by evidence such as the presence of fresh guano, dead young and/or eggshells • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #5 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	None of the listed communities are present in the Subjet Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH					

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habita	at: Colonially - Nesting Bird Breed	ding Habitat (Ground)			
Rationale: Colonies are important to local bird population, typically sites are only known colony in area and are used annually.	Herring Gull Great Black-backed Gull Little Gull Ring-billed Gull Common Tern Caspian Tern Brewer's Blackbird	Any rocky island or peninsula (natural or artificial) within a lake or large river (two-lined on a 1:50,000 NTS map). Close proximity to watercourses in open fields or pastures with scattered trees or shrubs (Brewer's Blackbird) MAM1 – 6 MAS1 – 3 CUM CUT CUS	 Nesting colonies of gulls and terns are on islands or peninsulas associated with open water or in marshy areas. Brewers Blackbird colonies are found loosely on the ground in or in low bushes in close proximity to streams and irrigation ditches within farmlands. <u>Information Sources</u> Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas^{ccv}, rare/colonial species records. Canadian Wildlife Service Reports and other information available from CAs Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) Colonial Waterbird Nesting Area MNRF District Offices Field naturalist clubs 	 Studies confirming: Presence of >25 active nests for Herring Gulls, >5 active nests for Common Tern or >2 active nests for Caspian Tern¹. Any active nesting colony of one or more Little Gull, and Great Black-backed Gull is significant¹. Presence of 5 or more pairs for Brewer's Blackbird¹. The edge of the colony and a minimum 150m radius area of the habitat, or the extent of the ELC ecosites containing the colony or any island <3.0ha with a colony is the SWH^{cc, acvii}. Studies would be done during May/June when actively nesting. Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects"^{ccvii}. SWHMIST^{cviik} Index #6 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	No suitable habitat is present in the Subject Property or Study Area. However, a Colonial Waterbird Nesting Area and Mixed Wader Nesting Colony are present within the vicinity of the Study Area (MNRF 2021b). Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habit	at: Migratory Butterfly Stopover A	Areas			
Rationale: Butterfly stopover areas are extremely rare habitats and are biologically important for butterfly species that migrate south for the winter	Painted Lady Red Admiral <u>Special Concern</u> : Monarch	Combination of ELC Community Series; need to have present one Community Series from each landclass: Field: CUM CUT CUS Forest: FOC FOD FOM CUP Anecdotally, a candidate sight for butterfly stopover will have a history of butterflies being observed.	A butterfly stopover area will be a minimum of 10ha in size with a combination of field and forest habitat present, and will be located within 5km of Lake Ontario and Erie ^{cxlix} . • The habitat is typically a combination of field and forest, and provides the butterflies with a location to rest prior to their long migration south ^{xooli, xooli, xooli, xooli} . • The habitat should not be disturbed, fields/meadows with an abundance of preferred nectar plants and woodland edge providing shelter are requirements for this habitat ^{cxlvii, cxlix} . • Staging areas usually provide protection from the elements and are often spits of land or areas with the shortest distance to cross the Great Lakes ^{xoovii, xoovi, xd, xli} . Information Sources • MNRF District Offices • Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) • Agriculture Canada in Ottawa may have list of butterfly experts. • Field Naturalist Clubs • Toronto Entomologists Association • Conservation Authorities	 Studies confirm: The presence of Monarch Use Days (MUD) during fall migration (Aug/Oct)^{xliii}. MUD is based on the number of days a site is used by Monarchs, multiplied by the number of individuals using the site. Numbers of butterflies can range from 100-500/day^{xxxvii}, significant variation can occur between years and multiple years of sampling should occur^{xl}, ^{xlii}. Observational studies are to be completed and need to be done frequently during the migration period to estimate MUD MUD of >5000 or >3000 with the presence of Painted Ladies or White Admiral's is to be considered significant¹. SWHMIST^{cxlix} Index #16 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	No suitable habitat is present within the Subject Property or Study Area. Woodlands within the Study Area are approximately 3km from Lake Eriebut are only ~6ha in size and is not associated with any large undisturbed meadow habitats. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habita	at: Landbird Migratory Stopover	Areas			
Rationale: Sites with a high diversity of species as well as high numbers are most significant	All migratory songbirds Canadian Wildlife Service Ontario website: http://www.on.ec.gc.ca/wildlife_e.htm I All migrant raptors species Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources: Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act, 1997. Schedule 7: Specially Protected Birds (Raptors)	All Ecosites associated with these ELC Community Series: FOC FOM FOD SWC SWM SWD	 Woodlots need to be >5 ha¹ in size and within 5km ^{iv}, v. vi, vii, viii, vii, xii, xii, xii, xii,	Studies confirm: • Use of the habitat by >200 birds/day and with >35 spp. with at least 10 bird spp. recorded on at least 5 different survey dates ¹ . This abundance and diversity of migrant bird species is considered above average and significant. • Studies should be completed during spring (March/May) and fall (Aug/Oct) migration using standardized assessment techniques. Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects" ^{nCcd} . • SWHMIST ^{colix} Index #9 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	No suitable habitat is present within the Subject Property. Woodlands within the Study Area are approximately 3km from Lake Erie and are approximately 6ha in size. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Candidate SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habita	at: Deer Winter Congregation Are	as			
Rationale: Deer movement during winter in the southern areas of Ecoregion 7E are not constrained by snow depth, however deer will annually congregate in large numbers in suitable woodlands to reduce or avoid the impacts of winter conditions ^{cxtviii}	White-tailed Deer	All Forested Ecosites with these ELC Community Series: FOC FOM FOD SWC SWM SWD Conifer plantations (CUP) smaller than 50 ha may also be used.	 Woodlots >100 ha in size or if large woodlots are rare in a planning area woodlots>50ha¹. Deer movement during winter in Ecoregion 7E are not constrained by snow depth, however deer will annually congregate in large numbers in suitable woodlands^{cotviii}. Large woodlots > 100ha and up to 1500 ha are known to be used annually by densities of deer that range from 0.1-1.5 deer/ha^{ccoxiv}. Woodlots with high densities of deer due to artificial feeding are not significant¹. Information Sources MNRF District Offices LIO/NRVIS 	 Studies confirm: Deer management is an MNRF responsibility, deer winter congregation areas considered significant will be mapped by MNRF^{cotviii}. Use of the woollot by white-tailed deer will be determined by MNRF, all woodlots exceeding the area criteria are significant, unless determined not to be significant by MNRF^f. Studies should be completed during winter (Jan/Feb) when >20cm of snow is on the ground using aerial survey techniques^{coxviv}, ground or road surveys, or a pellet count deer density survey^{coxvv}. SWHMIST^{cviix} Index #2 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	The Subject Property and Study Area have not been identified as having Deer Winter Congregation Areas by MNRF. Woodlots within the Study Area are approximately 6ha in size. However, Deer Wintering Area (Stratum II) has been identified in woodlots to the north and south of the Subject Property. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

Significant Wildlife Habitat Assessment Tables

Rare Vegetation Community ¹		Candidate SV	NH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
	ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Description ¹	Detailed Information and Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Cliff and Talus Slopes					
Rationale: Cliffs and Talus Slopes are extremely rare habitats in Ontario.	Any ELC Ecosite within Community Series: TAO CLO TAS CLS TAT CLT	A Cliff is vertical to near vertical bedrock >3m in height. A Talus Slope is rock rubble at the base of a cliff made up of coarse rocky debris.	Most cliff and talus slopes occur along the Niagara Escarpment. Information Sources • The Niagara Escarpment Commission has detailed information on location of these habitats. • OMNRF Districts • Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) has location information available on their website • Field naturalist clubs • Conservation Authorities	Confirm any ELC Vegetation Type for Cliffs or Talus Slopes ^{boxviii} SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #21 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	None of the listed communities are present within the Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

Rare Vegetation Community ¹	Candidate SWH Confirmed SWH Stuc			Candidate SWH Confirmed SWH Study Area						
	ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Description ¹	Detailed Information and Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details					
Sand Barrens	and Barrens									
Rationale: Sand barrens are rare in Ontario and support rare species. Most Sand Barrens have been lost due to cottage development and forestry.	ELC Ecosites: SBO1 SBS1 SBT1 Vegetation cover varies from patchy and barren to continuous meadow (SBO1), thicket-like (SBS1), or more closed and treed (SBT1). Tree cover always ≤ 60%.	Sand Barrens typically are exposed sand, generally sparsely vegetated and caused by lack of moisture, periodic fires and erosion. They have little or no soil and the underlying rock protrudes through the surface. Usually located within other types of natural habitat such as forest or savannah. Vegetation can vary from patchy and barren to tree covered but less than 60%.	A sand barren area >0.5ha in size Information Sources • OMNRF Districts • Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) has location information available on their website • Field naturalist clubs • Conservation Authorities	 Confirm any ELC Vegetation Type for Sand Barrens^{boviii} Site must not be dominated by exotic or introduced species (<50% vegetative cover are exotics sp)¹. SWHMIST^{cxlix} Index #20 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	None of the listed communities are present within the Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH					

Rare Vegetation Community ¹		Candidate SV	VH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
	ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Description ¹	Detailed Information and Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Alvar		•	•		
Rationale: Alvars are extremely rare habitats in Ecoregion 7E	ALO1 ALS1 ALT1 FOC1 FOC2 CUM2 CUS2 CUT2-1 CUW2 Five Alvar Indicator Species: 1) Carex crawei 2) Panicum philadelphicum 3) Eleocharis compressa 4) Scutellaria parvula 5) Trichostema brachiatum These indicator species are very specific to Alvars within Ecoregion 7E ^{cdix}	An alvar is typically a level, mostly unfractured calcareous bedrock feature with a mosaic of rock pavements and bedrock overlain by a thin veneer of soil. The hydrology of alvars is complex, with alternating periods of inundation and drought. Vegetation cover varies from sparse lichen-moss associations to grasslands and shrublands and comprising a number of characteristic or indicator plant. Undisturbed alvars can be phyto- and zoogeographically diverse, supporting many uncommon or are relict plant and animals species. Vegetation cover varies from patchy to barren with a less than 60% tree cover ^{boxviii} .	An Alvar site > 0.5ha in size ^{bxv} . Alvar is particularly rare in Ecoregion 7E where the only known sites are found in the western islands of Lake Erie ^{cxcix} . Information Sources • Alvars of Ontario (2000), Federation of Ontario Naturalists ^{bxvi} . • Ontario Nature – Conserving Great Lakes Alvars ^{ccviii} . • Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) has location information available on their website • OMNRF Staff • Field Naturalist clubs • Conservation Authorities	Field studies identify four of the five Alvar indicator species ^{bow} at a candidate Alvar site is Significant • Site must not be dominated by exotic or introduced species (<50% vegetative cover exotics). • The alvar must be in excellent condition and fit in with surrounding landscape with few conflicting land uses ^{bow} . • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #17 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	None of the listed communities are present within the Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

Rare Vegetation Community ¹	Candidate SWH C			Confirmed SWH	Study Area
	ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Description ¹	Detailed Information and Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Old Growth Forest					
Rationale: Due to historic logging practices and land clearance for agriculture, old growth forest is rare in Ecoregion 7E.	Forest Community Series: FOD FOC SWD SWC SWM	Old growth forests are characterized by heavy mortality or turnover of overstorey trees resulting in a mosaic of gaps that encourage development of a multi-layered canopy and an abundance of snags and downed woody debris.	Woodland area is >0.5ha Information Sources • OMNRF Forest Resource Inventory mapping • OMNRF Districts • Field naturalist clubs • Conservation Authorities • Sustainable Forestry Licence (SFL) companies will possibly know locations through field operations. • Municipal forestry departments	Field Studies will determine: • If dominant trees species of the ecosite are >140 years old, then stand is Significant Wildlife Habitat ^{cdviii} . • The forested area containing the old growth characteristics will have experienced no recognizable forestry activities ^{cdviii} (cut stumps will not be present) • Determine ELC Vegetation Type for forest area containing the old growth characteristics ^{baxviii} . • SWHMIST ^{cdix} Index #23 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	No old growth forest is present within the Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

Rare Vegetation Community ¹	Candidate SWH C			Confirmed SWH	Study Area
	ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Description ¹	Detailed Information and Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Savannah					
Rationale: Savannahs are extremely rare habitats in Ontario.	TPS1 TPS2 TPW1 TPW2 CUS2	A Savannah is a tallgrass prairie habitat that has tree cover between 25 – 60%. In Ecoregion 7E, known Tallgrass Prairie and savannah remnants are scattered between Lake Huron and Lake Erie, near Lake St. Clair, north of and along the Lake Erie shoreline, in Brantford and in the Toronto area (north of Lake Ontario) ^{cc} .	No minimum size to site ¹ Site must be restored or a natural site. Remnant sites such as railway right of ways are not considered to be SWH. <u>Information Sources</u> • OMNRF Districts • Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) has location data available on their website • Field naturalists clubs • Conservation Authorities	Field studies confirm one or more of the Savannah indicator species listed in ^{bow} Appendix N should be present ¹ . Note: Savannah plant spp. list from Ecoregion 7E should be used. • Area of the ELC Vegetation type is the SWH ^{txxviii} . • Site must not be dominated by exotic or introduced species (<50% vegetative cover exotics). • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #18 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	None of the listed communities are present within the Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

Rare Vegetation Community ¹		Candidate SV	VH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
	ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Description ¹	Detailed Information and Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Tallgrass Prairie					
<u>Rationale</u> : Tallgrass Prairies are extremely rare habitats in Ontario.	TPO1 TPO2	A Tallgrass Prairie has ground cover dominated by prairie grasses. An open Tallgrass Prairie habitat has < 25% tree cover. In Ecoregion 7E, known Tallgrass Prairie and savannah remnants are scattered between Lake Huron and Lake Erie, near Lake St. Clair, north of and along the Lake Erie shoreline, in Brantford and in the Toronto area (north of Lake Ontario) ^{cc} .	No minimum size to site ¹ . Site must be restored or a natural site. Remnant sites such as railway right of ways are not considered to be SWH. <u>Information Sources</u> • Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC has location information available on their website • OMNRF Districts • Field naturalists clubs • Conservation Authorities	 Field studies confirm one or more of the Prairie indicator species listed in^{box} Appendix N should be present¹. Note: Prairie plant spp. list from Ecoregion 7E should be used. Area of the ELC Vegetation Type is the SWH^{boxviii}. Site must not be dominated by exotic or introduced species (<50% vegetative cover exotics). SWHMIST^{cxlix} Index #19 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	None of the listed communities are present within the Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

Rare Vegetation Community ¹		Candidate SV	VH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
	ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Description ¹	Detailed Information and Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Other Rare Vegetation Communit	ies				
Rationale: Plant communities that often contain rare species which depend on the habitat for survival.	Provincially Rare S1, S2 and S3 vegetation communities are listed in Appendix M of the SWHTG ^{cxtviii} . Any ELC Ecosite Code that has a possible ELC Vegetation Type that is Provincially Rare is Candidate SWH.	Rare Vegetation Communities may include beaches, fens, forest, marsh, barrens, dunes and swamps.	ELC Ecosite codes that have the potential to be a rare ELC Vegetation Type as outlined in appendix M ^{cdviii} . The OMNRF/NHIC will have up to date listing for rare vegetation communities. <u>Information Sources</u> • Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) has location information available on their website • OMNRF Districts • Field naturalists clubs • Conservation Authorities	Field studies should confirm if an ELC Vegetation Type is a rare vegetation community based on listing within Appendix M of SWHTG ^{cxtviii} . • Area of the ELC Vegetation Type polygon is the SWH. • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #37 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	No other rare vegetation communities are present within the Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

Significant Wildlife Habitat Assessment Tables

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat	Waterfowl Nesting Area				
Rationale: Important to local waterfowl populations, sites with greatest number of species and highest number of individuals are significant	American Black Duck Northern Pintail Northern Shoveler Gadwall Blue-winged Teal Green-winged Teal Wood Duck Hooded Merganser Mallard	All upland habitats located adjacent to these wetland ELC Ecosites are Candidate SWH: MAS1 MAS2 MAS3 SAS1 SAM1 SAF1 MAM1 MAM2 MAM3 MAM4 MAM5 MAM6 SWT1 SWT2 SWD1 SWD2 SWD1 SWD2 SWD3 SWD4 Note: includes adjacency to Provincially Significant Wetlands	A waterfowl nesting area extends: 120m ^{colix} from a wetland (>0.5ha) or a wetland (>0.5ha) with small wetlands (0.5ha) within 120m or a cluster of 3 or more small (<0.5 ha) wetlands within 120m of each individual wetland where waterfowl nesting is known to occur ^{colix} . • Upland areas should be at least 120m wide so that predators such as racoons, skunks, and foxes have difficulty finding nests. • Wood Ducks and Hooded Mergansers utilize large diameter trees (>40cm dbh) in woodlands for cavity nest sites. Information Sources • Ducks Unlimited staff may know the locations of particularly productive nesting sites. • OMNRF Wetland Evaluations for indication of significant waterfowl nesting habitat. • Reports and other information available from CAs	Studies confirmed: • Presence of 3 or more nesting pairs for listed species excluding Mallards ¹ , or, • Presence of 10 or more nesting pairs for listed species including Mallards ¹ . • Any active nesting site of an American Black Duck is considered significant. • Nesting studies should be completed during the spring breeding season (April - June). Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects" ^{codi} • A field study confirming waterfowl nesting habitat will determine the boundary of the waterfowl nesting habitat for the SWH, this may be greater or less than 120m ^{codviii} from the wetland and will provide enough habitat for waterfowl to successfully nest. • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #25 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	No suitable habitat in the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat	Bald Eagle and Osprey Nestin	g, Foraging and Perching	l Habitat		
Rationale: Nest sites are fairly uncommon in Ecoregion 7E and are used annually by these species. Many suitable nesting locations may be lost due to increasing shoreline development pressures and scarcity of habitat.	Osprey <u>Special Concern</u> : Bald Eagle	ELC Forest Community Series: FOD, FOM, FOC, SWD, SWM and SWC directly adjacent to riparian areas – rivers, lakes, ponds and wetlands.	Nests are associated with lakes, ponds, rivers or wetlands along forested shorelines, islands, or on structures over water. Osprey nests are usually at the top a tree whereas Bald Eagle nests are typically in super canopy trees in a notch within the tree's canopy. Nests located on man-made objects are not to be included as SWH (e.g. telephone poles and constructed nesting platforms). Information Sources • Natural Heritage Information Center (NHIC) compiles all known nesting sites for Bald Eagles in Ontario • MNRF values information (LIO/NRVIS) will list known nesting locations, Note: data from NRVIS is provided as a point format and does not include all the habitat. • Nature Counts, Ontario Nest Records Scheme data • OMNRF Districts • Check the Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas ^{cov} or Rare Breeding Birds in Ontario for species documented • Reports and other information available from CAs • Field naturalists clubs	 Studies confirm the use of these nests by: One or more active Osprey or Bald Eagle nests in an area^{cxtviii}. Some species have more than one nest in a given area and priority is given to the primary nest with alternate nests included within the area of the SWH. For an Osprey, the active nest and a 300m radius around the nest or the contiguous woodland stand is the SWH^{ccvii}, maintaining undisturbed shorelines with large trees within this area is important^{cxtviii}. For a Bald Eagle the active nest and a 400-800m radius around the nest is the SWH^{cvi, ccvii}. Area of the habitat from 400-800m is dependant on site lines from the nest to the development and inclusion of perching and foraging habitat^{cvi}. To be significant a site must be used annually. When found inactive, the site must be known to be inactive for ≥3 years or suspected of not being used for >5 years before being considered not significant^{ccvii}. Observational studies to determine nest site use, perching sites and foraging areas need to be done from mid March to mid August. Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects"^{ccxii} 	No suitable habitats in the Study Area or Subject Property. Woodland within the Study Area is approximately 500m from the Welland Canal. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area			
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details			
Wildlife Habitat	Wildlife Habitat: Woodland Raptor Nesting Habitat							
Rationale: Nests sites for these species are rarely identified; these area sensitive habitats are often used annually by these species.	Northern Goshawk Cooper's Hawk Sharp-shinned Hawk Red-shouldered Hawk Barred Owl Broad-winged Hawk	May be found in all forested ELC Ecosites. May also be found in SWC, SWM, SWD and CUP3	All natural or coniter plantation woodland/forest stands combined >30ha or with >4ha of interior habitat ^{looxviiii,} book, xc, xci, xciii, xciv, xcv, xcvi, coodii . Interior habitat determined with a 200m buffer ^{cckviii} . • Stick nests found in a variety of intermediate-aged to mature conifer, deciduous or mixed forests within tops or crotches of trees. Species such as Coopers hawk nest along forest edges sometimes on peninsulas or small off-shore islands. • In disturbed sites, nests may be used again, or a new nest will be in close proximity to old nest. <u>Information Sources</u> • OMNRF Districts • Check the Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas ^{cov} or Rare Breeding Birds in Ontario for species documented. • Check data from Bird Studies Canada • Reports and other information available from CAs	 Studies confirm: Presence of 1 or more active nests from species list is considered significant^{cot/viii}. Red-shouldered Hawk and Northern Goshawk – A 400m radius around the nest or 28 ha of habitat is the SWH^{ocvii} (the 28ha habitat area would be applied where optimal habitat is irregularly shaped around the nest) Barred Owl – A 200m radius around the nest is the SWH^{ocvii}. Brared Owl – A 200m radius around the nest is the SWH^{ocvii}. Brand-winged Hawk and Coopers Hawk – A 100m radius around the nest is the SWH^{ocvii}. Sharp-Shinned Hawk – A 50m radius around the nest is the SWH^{ocvii}. Conduct field investigations from early March to end of May. The use of call broadcasts can help in locating territorial (courting/nesting) raptors and facilitate the discovery of nests by narrowing down the search area. SWHMIST^{cx/ii} Index #27 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	The woodland in the Study Area is not large enough to contain interior habitat. No woodlands are present within the Subject Property. Subjet Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH			

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat:	Turtle Nesting Area				
Rationale: These habitats are rare and when identified will often be the only breeding site for local populations of turtles.	Midland Painted Turtle <u>Special Concern</u> : Northern Map Turtle Snapping Turtle	Exposed mineral soil (sand or gravel) areas adjacent (<100m) ^{colvii} or within the following ELC Ecosites: MAS1 MAS2 MAS3 SAS1 SAM1 SAF1 BOO1 FEO1	 Best nesting habitat for turtles are close to water and away from roads and sites less prone to loss of eggs by predation from skunks, raccoons or other animals. For an area to function as a turtle-nesting area, it must provide sand and gravel that turtles are able to dig in and are located in open, sunny areas. Nesting areas on the sides of municipal or provincial road embankments and shoulders are not SWH. Sand and gravel beaches adjacent to undisturbed shallow weedy areas of marshes, lakes, and rivers are most frequently used. Information Sources Use Ontario Soil Survey reports and maps to help find suitable substrate for nesting turtles (well-drained sands and fine gravels). Check the Ontario Herpetofaunal Summary Atlas records or other similar atlases for uncommon turtles; location information may help to find potential nesting habitat for them. Natural Heritage Information Center (NHIC) Field naturalist clubs 	Studies confirm: • Presence of 5 or more nesting Midland Painted Turtles ¹ • One or more Northern Map Turtle or Snapping Turtle nesting is a SWH ¹ • The area or collection of sites within an area of exposed mineral soils where the turtles nest, plus a radius of 30-100m around the nesting area dependant on slope, riparian vegetation and adjacent land use is the SWH ^{cxtviii} . • Travel routes from wetland to nesting area are to be considered within the SWH as part of the 30- 100m area of habitat ^{cxtix} . • Field investigations should be conducted in prime nesting season typically late spring to early summer. Observation studies observing the turtles nesting is a recommended method. • SWHMIST ^{cxtlix} Index #28 provides development effects and mitigation measures for turtle nesting habitat.	Subject Property is not located within 100m of the listed communities. Subject Property or Study Area do not contain suitable habitat. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat:	Seeps and Springs				
Rationale: Seeps/Springs are typical of headwater areas and are often at the source of coldwater streams	Wild Turkey Ruffed Grouse Spruce Grouse White-tailed Deer Salamander spp.	Seeps/Springs are areas where ground water comes to the surface. Often they are found within headwater areas within forested habitats. Any forested Ecosite within the headwater areas of a stream could have seeps/springs.	Any forested area (with <25% meadow/field/pasture) within the headwaters of a stream or river system ^{cxvii,} cxlix • Seeps and springs are important feeding and drinking areas especially in the winter will typically support a variety of plant and animal species ^{cxlx, cxx, cxdi, cxlii, cxlii, cxlii} , Information Sources • Topographical Map • Thermography • Hydrological surveys conducted by CAs and MOE • Field naturalists and landowners • Municipalities and Conservation Authorities may have drainage maps and headwater areas mapped	 Field Studies confirm: Presence of a site with 2 or more¹ seeps/springs should be considered SWH. The area of a ELC forest ecosite containing the seeps/springs is the SWH. The protection of the recharge area considering the slope, vegetation, height of trees and groundwater condition need to be considered in delineation of the habitat^{cxt/viii}. SWHMIST^{cxt/x} Index #30 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	No Springs or Seeps within the Subject Property. The Study Area does not contain any headwater areas. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat:	Amphibian Breeding Habitat (Woodland)			
Rationale: These habitats are extremely important to amphibian biodiversity within a landscape and often represent the only breeding habitat for local amphibian populations	Eastern Newt Blue-spotted Salamander Spotted Salamander Gray Treefrog Spring Peeper Western Chorus Frog Wood Frog	All Ecosites associated with these ELC Community Series: FOC FOM FOD SWC SWM SWD Breeding pools within the woodland or the shortest distance from forest habitat are more significant because they are more likely to be used due to reduced risk to migrating amphibians.	 Presence of a wetland, pond or woodland pool (including vernal pools) >500m² (about 25m diameter) ^{ccvii} within or adjacent (within 120m) to a woodland (no minimum size)^{clocxii}, ^{bxii}, ^{bxi}, ^{bxii}, ^{bxii},	 Studies confirm: Presence of breeding population of 1 or more of the listed newt/salamander species or 2 or more of the listed frog/toad species with at least 20 individuals (adults or eggs masses) or 2 or more of the listed frog/toad species with Call Level Codes of 3. A combination of observational study and call count surveys ^{cviii} will be required during the spring (March-June) when amphibians are concentrated around suitable breeding habitat within or near the woodland/wetlands. The habitat is the wetland area plus a 230m radius of woodland area^{lxiii}, kvi, kvi, kvii, kvii,	No suitable habitat within the Subject Property. Woodlands within the Study Area, adjacent to the Subject Property, may contain suitable habitat. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Candidate SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat:	Amphibian Breeding Habitat (Wetland)			
Rationale: Wetlands supporting breeding for these amphibian species are extremely important and fairly rare within Central Ontario Landscapes	Eastern Newt American Toad Spotted Salamander Four-toed Salamander Blue-spotted Salamander Gray Treefrog Western Chorus Frog Northern Leopard Frog Pickerel Frog Green Frog Mink Frog Bullfrog	ELC Community Classes SW, MA, FE, BO, OA and SA. Typically these wetland ecosites will be isolated (>120m) from woodland ecosites, however larger wetlands containing predominantly aquatic species (e.g. Bull Frog) may be adjacent to woodlands.	 Wetlands >500m² (about 25m diameter)^{ccvii} supporting high species diversity are significant: some small or ephemeral habitats may not be identified on MNR mapping and could be important amphibian breeding habitats^{chcoviv}. Presence of shrubs and logs increase significance of pond for some amphibian species because of available structure for calling, foraging, escape and concealment from predators. Bullfrogs require permanent water bodies with abundant emergent vegetation. Information Sources Ontario Herpetofaunal Summary Atlas (or other similar atlases) Canadian Wildlife Service Amphibian Road Surveys and Backyard Amphibian Call Count. OMNRF Districts and wetland evaluations Reports and other information available from CAs 	Studies confirm: • Presence of breeding population of 1or more of the listed newt/salamander species or 2 or more of the listed frog or toad species and with at least 20 breeding individuals (adults and eggs masses) ^{bxil} , ^{bxill} or 2 or more of the listed frog/toad species with Call Level of 3. or; Wetland with confirmed breeding Bullfrogs are significant ¹ . • The ELC ecosite wetland area and the shoreline are the SWH. • A combination of observational study and call count surveys cviii to determine breeding/larval stages will be required during the spring (May March-June) when amphibians are concentrated around suitable breeding habitat within or near the woodland/wetlands. • If a SWH is determined for Amphibian Breeding Habitat (Wetlands) then Movement Corridors are to be considered as outlined in Table 1.4.1 of this Schedule. • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #15 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	None of the listed communities are present within the Subject Property. An open water pond is present with the Port Colborne Quarry that may contain suitable habitat. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Candidate SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area				
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details				
Wildlife Habitat	/ildlife Habitat: Woodland Area-Sensitive Bird Breeding Habitat								
Rationale: Large, natural blocks of mature woodland habitat within the settled areas of Southern Ontario are important habitats for area sensitive interior forest song birds.	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker Red-breasted Nuthatch Veery Blue-headed Vireo Northern Parula Black-throated Green Warbler Black-throated Blue Warbler Ovenbird Scarlet Tanager Winter Wren Pileated Woodpecker <u>Special Concern</u> : Cerulean Warbler Canada Warbler	All Ecosites associated with these ELC Community Series: FOC FOM FOD SWC SWM SWD	 Habitats where interior forest breeding birds are breeding, typically large mature (>60 yrs. old) forest stands or woodlots >30ha^{CV}, cood, coodi, coodi,	 Studies confirm: Presence of nesting or breeding pairs of 3 or more of the listed wildlife species¹. Note: any site with breeding Cerulean Warblers or Canada Warbler is to be considered SWH¹. Conduct field investigations in early summer when birds are singing and defending their territories. Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects"^{codd} SWHMIST^{codix} Index #34 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	None of the listed communities are present within the Subject Property. Woodlands within the Study Area do not contain interior habitat. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH				

Significant Wildlife Habitat Assessment Tables

Table 4. Characteristics of Habitat for Species of Conservation Concern for Ecoregion 7E.	
---	--

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat: Ma	rsh Bird Breeding Habitat				
Rationale: Wetlands for these bird species are typically productive and fairly rare in Southern Ontario landscapes.	American Bittern Virginia Rail Sora Common Gallinule American Coot Pied-billed Grebe Marsh Wren Sedge Wren Common Loon Green Heron Trumpeter Swan <u>Special Concern</u> : Black Tern Yellow Rail	MAM1 MAM2 MAM3 MAM4 MAM5 MAM6 SAS1 SAM1 SAF1 FEO1 BOO1 For Green Heron: All SW, MA and CUM1 sites	 Nesting occurs in wetlands All wetland habitat is to be considered as long as there is shallow water with emergent aquatic vegetation present^{cxoiv}. For Green Heron, habitat is at the edge of water such as sluggish streams, ponds and marshes sheltered by shrubs and trees. Less frequently, it may be found in upland shrubs or forest a considerable distance from water. Information Sources OMNRF Districts and wetland evaluations Field naturalist clubs Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) Reports and other information available from CAs Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas^{ccv} 	Studies confirm: • Presence of 5 or more nesting pairs of Sedge Wren or Marsh Wren or breeding by any combination of 4 or more of the listed species ¹ . • Note: any wetland with breeding of 1 or more Trumpeter Swans, Black Terns, Green Heron or Yellow Rail is SWH ¹ . • Area of the ELC ecosite is the SWH • Breeding surveys should be done in MayJune when these species are actively nesting in wetland habitats. • Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects" ^{coid} • SWHMIST ^{coilix} Index #35 provides development effects and mitigation measures	None of the listed communities are present within the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat: Op	en Country Bird Breeding Habi	tat			
Rationale: This wildlife habitat is declining throughout Ontario and North America. Species such as the Upland Sandpiper have declined significantly the past 40 years based on CWS (2004) trend records.	Upland Sandpiper Grasshopper Sparrow Vesper Sparrow Northern Harrier Savannah Sparrow <u>Special Concern</u> : Short-eared Owl	CUM1 CUM2	Large grassland areas (includes natural and cultural fields and meadows) >30ha ^{cbx, cbxi,}	Field Studies confirm: • Presence of nesting or breeding of 2 or more of the listed species ¹ . • A field with 1 or more breeding Short-eared Owls is to be considered SWH. • The area of SWH is the contiguous ELC ecosite field areas. • Conduct field investigations of the most likely areas in spring and early summer when birds are singing and defending their territories. • Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects" ^{codd} • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #32 provides development effects and mitigation measures	No suitable grasslands are present within the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat: Sh	rub/Early Successional Bird Bro	eding Habitat			
Rationale: This wildlife habitat is declining throughout Ontario and North America. The Brown Thrasher has declined significantly over the past 40 years based on CWS (2004) trend records.	Indicator Spp: Brown Thrasher Clay-coloured Sparrow Common Spp. Field Sparrow Black-billed Cuckoo Eastern Towhee Willow Flycatcher Special Concern: Yellow-breasted Chat Golden-winged Warbler	CUT1 CUT2 CUS1 CUS2 CUW1 CUW2 Patches of shrub ecosites can be complexed into a larger habitat such as woodland area for some bird species.	Large natural field areas succeeding to shrub and thicket habitats >10ha ^{clxiv} in size. Shrub land or early successional fields, not class 1 or 2 agricultural lands, not being actively used for farming (i.e. no row- cropping, haying or live-stock pasturing in the last 5 years) ¹ . Shrub thicket habitats (>10 ha) are most likely to support and sustain a diversity of these species ^{clxoiii} . Shrub and thicket habitat sites considered significant should have a history of longevity, either abandoned fields or pasturelands. Information Sources • Agricultural land classification maps, Ministry of Agriculture. • Local bird clubs • Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas ^{ccv} • Reports and other information available from CAs	Field Studies confirm: • Presence of nesting or breeding of 1 of the indicator species and at least 2 of the common species ¹ . • A field with breeding Yellow-breasted Chat or Golden-winged Warbler is to be considered as Significant Wildlife Habitat ¹ . • The area of the SWH is the contiguous ELC ecosite field/thicket area. • Conduct field investigations of the most likely areas in spring and early summer when birds are singing and defending their territories • Evaluation methods to follow "Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects" ^{codi} • SWHMIST ^{codix} Index #33 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	No suitable shrub and thicket habitat is present within the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
Wildlife Habitat: Ter	restrial Crayfish				
Rationale: Terrestrial Crayfish are only found within SW Ontario in Canada and their habitats are very rare. ^{Ccii}	Chimney or Digger Crayfish (<i>Fallicambarus fodiens</i>) Devil Crawfish or Meadow Crayfish (<i>Cambarus Diogenes</i>)	MAM1 MAM2 MAM3 MAM4 MAM5 MAM6 MAS1 MAS2 MAS3 SWD SWT SWM CUM1 with inclusions of above meadow marsh ecosites can be used by terrestrial crayfish	 Wet meadow and edges of shallow marshes (no minimum size) identified should be surveyed for terrestrial crayfish. Constructs burrows in marshes, mudflats, meadows, the ground can't be too moist. Can often be found far from water. Both species are a semi-terrestrial burrower which spends most of its life within burrows consisting of a network of tunnels. Usually the soil is not too moist so that the tunnel is well formed. Information Sources Information sources from "Conservation Status of Freshwater Crayfishes" by Dr. Premek Hamr for the WWF and CNF March 1998. 	Studies Confirm: • Presence of 1 or more individuals of species listed or their chimneys (burrows) in suitable marsh meadow or terrestrial sites ^{cci} . • Area of ELC Ecosite or an ecoelement area of meadow marsh or swamp within the large ecosite area is the SWH • Surveys should be done April to August in temporary or permanent water. Note the presence of burrows or chimneys are often the only indicator of presence, observance or collection of individuals is very difficult ^{cci} • SWHMIST ^{cxlix} Index #36 provides development effects and mitigation measures.	None of the listed communities are present within the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH

	Wildlife Species ¹		Candidate SWH	Confirmed SWH	Study Area				
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details				
Wildlife Habitat: Special Concern and Rare Wildlife Species									
Rationale: These species are quite rare or have experienced significant population declines in Ontario	All Special Concern and Provincially Rare (S1-S3, SH) plant and animal species. Lists of these species are tracked by the Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC).	All plant and animal element occurrences (EO) within a 1 or 10km grid. Older element occurrences were recorded prior to GPS being available, therefore location information may lack accuracy.	 When an element occurrence is identified within a 1 or 10 km grid for a Special Concern or provincially Rare species; linking candidate habitat on the site needs to be completed to ELC Ecosites^{boxiii}. Information Sources Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) will have the Special Concern and Provincially Rare (S1-S3, SH) species lists and element occurrences for these species. NHIC Website: "Get Information" http://nhic.mnr.gov.on.ca Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas^{ccv} Expert advice should be sought as many of the rare spp. have little information available about their requirements. 	 Studies Confirm: Assessment/inventory of the site for the identified special concern or rare species needs to be completed during the time of year when the species is present or easily identifiable. The area of the habitat to the finest ELC scale that protects the habitat form and function is the SWH, this must be delineated through detailed field studies. The habitat neess to be easily mapped and cover an important life stage component for a species e.g. specific nesting habitat for foraging habitat. SWHMIST^{cxlix} Index #37 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	See Species at Risk and Species of Conservation Concern screening tables for details. Candidate habitat for Special Concern and rare wildlife species is present within the Subject Property and Study Area. Subject Property: Candidate SWH Study Area: Candidate SWH				

Significant Wildlife Habitat Assessment Tables

Table 5. Characteristics of Animal Movement Corridors for Ecoregion 7E.

	Wildlife Species ¹	Candidate SWH		Confirmed SWH	Study Area				
		ELC Ecosite Codes ¹	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details				
Wildlife Habitat: Amphibian Movement Corridors									
Rationale: Movement corridors for amphibians moving from their terrestrial habitat to breeding habitat can be extremely important for local populations.	Eastern Newt American Toad Blue-spotted Salamander Spotted Salamander Four-toed Salamander Gray Treefrog Northern Leopard Frog Pickerel Frog Western Chorus Frog	Corridors may be found in all ecosites associated with water. • Corridors will be determined based on identifying the significant breeding habitat for these species in Table 1.1.	Movement corridors between breeding habitat and summer habitat ^{dowiv, dow, dowi, dowii, dowii, dowi , dow, chooi Movement corridors must be considered when Amphibian breeding habitat is confirmed as SWH from Table 1.2.2 (Amphibian Breeding Habitat – Wetland) of this Schedule¹. Information Sources • MNRF District Office • Natural Heritage Information Centre NHIC • Reports and other information available from CAs • Field naturalist Clubs}	 Field Studies must be conducted at the time of year when species are expected to be migrating or entering breeding sites. Corridors should consist of native vegetation, with several layers of vegetation. Corridors unbroken by roads, waterways or bodies, and undeveloped areas are most significant^{extist}. Corridors should have at least 15m of vegetation on both sides of waterwayzkix or be up to 200m widecxlix of woodland habitat and with gaps <20m^{clix}. Shorter corridors, however amphibians must be able to get to and from their summer and breeding habitat^{cxist}. SWHMIST^{2xist} Index #40 provides development effects and mitigation measures. 	Suitable habitat not present within the Subject Property or Study Area. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH				

Significant Wildlife Habitat Assessment Tables

Table 6. Exceptions for Ecodistricts within Ecoregion 6E.

	Wildlife Habitat and Species	Candidate SWH		Confirmed SWH	Study Area	
		Ecosites	Habitat Description	Habitat Criteria and Information Sources ¹	Defining Criteria ¹	Assessment Details
EcoDistrict						
7E-2	Bat Migratory Stopover Area Rationale: Stopover areas for long distance migrant bats are important during fall migration. Hoary Bat Eastern Red Bat Silver-haired Bat	No specific ELC types		 Long distance migratory bats typically migrate during late summer and early fall migrating summer breeding habitats throughout Ontario to southern wintering areas. Their annual fall migration may concentrate these species of bats at stopover areas. This is the only known bat migratory stopover habitats based on current information. Information Sources OMNRF for possible locations and contact for local experts University of Waterloo, Biology Department 	 Long Point (42°35'N, 80°30'E, to 42°33'N, 80°03'E) has been identified as a significant stop-over habitat for fall migrating Silver-haired bats, due to significant increases in abundance, activity and feeding that was documented during fall migration^{cov}. The confirmation criteria and habitat areas for this SWH are still being determined. SWHMIST^{cxlix} Index #38 provides development effects and mitigation measures 	The Study Area is not located on Long Point. Subject Property: Not SWH Study Area: Not SWH